6. It was noted that the power system network in country has an unmatched reach to consumers and with the installation of optic fibre cables along the existing power lines using its right of way, it has the potential of generating very large resources in the coming years by providing facilities for multipurpose communication including cable TV, IT services, Telecom services, etc. hence efforts need to be made to creatively tap this potential source of large revenue from convergence.

7. In order to promote reforms, a new draft central legislation which obviates the need for separate enactments for the States, is being considered. The draft Bill submitted by the NCAER would form the basis for a national debate and a c onsensus on the contents of the new Bill. The States would be sen> ing their detailed comments on this draft Bill, to the Ministry of Power at the earliest.

Grid Sub-Station in Sangam Vihar

5579. SHRI GAYA SINGH: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that land for establishment of a Grid sub-station in Sangam Vihar, New Delhi has been provided to DVB;

(b) if so, what steps Government have taken/propose to take to complete the sub-station; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI JAYAWANTI MEHTA): (a) to (c) Delhi Vidyut Board is under the administrative and financial control of Government of NCT of Delhi. DVB has informed that the Board has purchased a plot for establishment of a 66 KV Grid Sub-station in village Devli near Sangam Vihar and the preliminary works in connection with the setting up of the Grid Sub-station have already been started by DVB.

Installation of New Power Projects

5580. SHRI B.J. PANDA: Will the Minister ol POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received proposals from different state Governments for the installation of new projects/expansion of the capacity of the existing power projects during 1998-99 and 1999-2000;

(b) if so, the action taken thereon and the present status thereof;

(c) by when these projects are likely to get techno-administrative clearance; and

(d) the estimated cost of these projects?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI JAYAWANTI MEHTA): (a) to (d) 27 proposals w ;re received from the State sector for the installation of new projects/expansio I of capacity during 1998-99 and 1999-2000. Out of these, 7 projects have already been given the techno*economic clearance (TEC) by Central Electricity Authority (CEA), 12 projects have been returned to the concerned project authorities for various pending inputs/clearances. 8 projects are under examination in CEA and they will be accorded TEC as soon as the pending inputs/clearances are tied up by the project authorities. Status of these projects along with the action taken thereon and the estimated cost of these projects is given in the annexed Statement.

Statement

S. No.	Name of Project	Installed Capacity (MW)	Estimated Cost Excluding IDC	Date ot receipt in CEA	Date of TEC
Details of proposals received for State Sector power projects during 1998-99.					
A. CEA CLEARED/APPRAISED SCHEMES.					
Hydro.					
1.	LarjiHEP Himachal Pradesh	3x42	Rs. 796.98 crore	3/99	14.1.2000
2.	Maneri Bhali-Il Uttar Pradesh	4x76	Rs. 1111.39 crore	8/98	21.2.2000
3.	Myntdu HEP-I Mehgalaya	2x42	Rs. 363.1 crore	10/98	20.9.1999
Thermal					
4.	Pragati CCPP Delhi	330	US$ 59.888 M Rs. 819.3 crore	11/98	10.2.2000
5.	Akrimota Lignite TPP Gujarat	2x125	US$47,914 M DM 47.929M+ Rs 1022.158 crore	9.3.99	6.9.1999

No.	Name of Project	Installed Capacity	Estimated Cost IDC	Date of receipt in CEA	Date of TEC (MW)	Excluding
6.	Parli TPP Extn. ST. I Maharashtra	1x250	Rs. 1053.90 crore	10/98		9.7.1999
B.	SCHEME RETURNED.					
Hy	lro					
7.	KutehrHEP Himachal Pradesh	160	Rs. 1117.43 crore	12/98		—
	Returned on 21 2.2000 due to non-firming up of made of financing and also because of HPSEB's decision to execute the project through IPR					
8.	KishauDamMPP Uttar Pradesh	4x150	Rs. 3455.0 crore	6/98		
	Returned on 22.7.99 Pending inputs/clearances are TAC of MOWR, compliance of Section 29(2) and (3) of E(S) Act, MOEF clearance, inter state agreement, power potential studies.					
9.	Tattihalla Augmentation Karnataka	410 Gwh	Rs. 120.75 crore	8/98		
	Returned on 30.9.99 as the cost of the schemes is less than Rs. 250 crore and interstate aspects are not involved.					
Thermal						
10.	Para TPS Extn. Maharashtra	1x250	Rs. 800.0 crore	8/98		
	Returned on 30.9-98 Pending inputs Clearance are land availability, compliance of Sec. 29(2)ofE(S) Act, MOEF clearance, fuel linkage/ transport, ash management plan, updated present day cost.					
11	Chandrapur TPS	1x60	Rs. 150.0 crore	20.10.98		
	Returned on 27.1.99 Pending inputs are-Justification from demand and supply angle, water availability* MOEF clearance, NOC from CIL for use of coal, compliance of Sec. 29(2) E(S) Act, present day cost, ash management plan, tentative financial package.					
12.	UranGTSt. Ill Maharashtra Gas availability not confirmed. Returned in 1/86.	8x108	Rs. 337.0 crore	6/84		

No.	Name of Project	Installed Capacity	Estimated Cost IDC	Date of receipt in CEA	Date of TEC (MW)	Excluding
13.	Raichur TPP U-7 Karnataka	1x210	Rs. 520.38 crore	14.8.98		

C. Returned on 12.10.98. Pending inputs/clearances are fuel linkage/ transport, compliance of Sec. 29(2) E (S) Act, MOEF clearance, firm completed cost, water availability, power evaucation system. DPR UNDER EXAMINATION IN CEA.

Hydro NIL.

Thermal

14.	RamgarhCCGTSt.il Rajasthan	71	Rs. 288.63 crore	11.11.98		

Pending inputs are fuel linkage. Considered in SPAC on 11.2.2000 and recommended for TEC. Clarification received from RSEB in 3/ 2000. Comments on technical and cost aspects forwarded on 30.3.2000.

Details of proposals received from State Sector for power projects during 1999-2000

A CEA CLEARED/APPRAISED SCHEMES

Thermal

1.	MathaniaCCPP Rajasthan	140	US$50.6MRs. 659.34 crore	4/99	27.8.99	B RETURNED

SCHEMES

Hydro

2.	UBDCStlli	3x15+2x15	Rs: 530 crore	6/99-		

3. Punjab

Returned on 26.7.99 for resubmission of up-dated DPR. Pending inputs/clearances are land availability, water availability, compliance of Sec. 29(2)E(S) Act, MOEF clearance, Resettlement & Rehabilitation plan, inter state clearance, DPR submitted is not in the format prescribed by CWC, hydrological data and studies, updation of cost at current price level.

Lakhwar Vyasi 3x100+2x60 Rs. 867.6 crore 9/99 —

Returned on 8.10.99 requesting CWC to ask the project authority to submit DPR for generation portion in accordance "with the requirement of CEA.

s. No.	Name of Project	installed Capacity	Estimated Cost IDC	Date of receipt	Date of TEC (MW)	Excluding in CEA
4.	Kanhan Maharashtra	245	Rs. 183.12 crore	3/98		
	Returned on 24.8.99. Pending inputs/clearances are land avialbility, compliance on Sec 29(2) E(S) Act, water availability, Resettlement & Rehabilitation plan, MOEF clearance, inter state clearance.					
5.	SankhSUI Bihar	186	Rs. 526.7 crore	12/99	—	
	Returned on 13.1.2000 as fresh DPR sent by BHPCL was the same as was sent earlier in 12/97 without taking into account the CEA/CWC comments sent to them. BHPCL is to submit the fresh DPR based on latest price level and various CFW/CWC comments.					
Thermal						
6.	Sikka TPS Extn. Units-3 & 4 Guajrat	2x250	Rs. 2177.88 crore	30.7.99	—	
C	Returned on 7.9.99. Pending inputs/clearances are land availability, water availability, MOEF clearance, fuel linkage, SPCB clearance, compliance of Sec. 29 (2) & (3)E(S) Act, firm completed cost, tentative financial package, NOC of NAA, fuel transport arrangement, (♦including IDC) DPR UNDER EXAMINATION IN CEA					
Hydro						
7.	HumbarliPSS Maharashtra	2x200	Rs. 838.92 crore	9/99	—	
8.	Pending inputs/clearances are land availability, compliance of Sec. 29 (2) E(S) Act, water availability, tentative financial package, MOEF clearance, inter State clearance of CWC, cost estimates to be updated, power evacuation system, hydro planning, CWC clearance in hydrology and civil design, GSI aspect, project justification. UhlHEPSMII Pradesh	2x50	Rs. 464.80 crore	4.11.99	—	Himachal
	Pending inputs are compliance of Sec. 29 (2) E(S) Act, inter state clearance, financial package, MOEF clearance, fresh forest clearance from State department, power evacuation system, CWC clearance on hydrology, design of E&M works.					

ST No.	Name of Project	Installed Capacity	Estimated Cost IDC	Dnte of receipt	Date of TEC (MW)	Excluding in CEA
Thermal						
9.	GuruHargobindSt.il Punjab	2x250	Rs. 1441.90 crore		5/99	—
	Pending inputs are issues of corrigendum u/s 29(2) E(S) Act for variation in cost, water availability, NfOEF clearance, Considered by SPAC on 6.12.99 and recommended for TEC.					
10.	Kozhikode Heavy Fuel Kerala	128	Rs. 391.11 crore	7.7.99		—
	Pending inputs are compliance of Sec. 29(2) (3) E(S) Act. Discussed in the meeting on 3.11.99. Clarification on legal, power evacuation, technical & financial aspects, details of completion cost etc. are awaited.					
11.	Hazira CCPP Gujarat	156	Rs. 576 crore	14.2.2000		—
12.	Under Examination. Dhuvaran Gas PP Rs. 350.468 crore	100		3/2000	Gujarat	Under Examination
13.	Kovilkalappal CCPP Tamil Nadu	107.88	Rs. 338.74 crore	7/99		—
	Considered in SPAC on 22.3.2000. TNEB was requested to sort out following issues so that the project could be recommended for TEC:—					
	—Justification for starting various works without CEW's clearance.					
	—Examination of civil estimates for deletion of provision of land for colony.					
	—TNEB to get water samples analysed for its quality and, if required, revise the water scheme by providing RO Plant. ■					
	—TNEB to submit clearance of TNECB and Central Ground Authority for water availability.					
	—TNEB to furnish confirmation letter for gas availability for entire life of plant.					
	—TNEB to recast the financial package.					